

Academic Program Review

External Review Team Nominations

Department Name:

Department Review Cycle: AY 2023-2024

Chair:

Co-Chair (if applicable):

About the Department

Include a summary statement about the department and its focus.

Nomination Criteria

Specify the criteria used to identify potential reviewers. Refer to step 2 in the Academic Program Handbook (Step 2-The External Review) for additional guidance.

Department Nominations and Rationale for Selection

List the nominee's information in order of preference. Include a list of *at least* 5 nominees. Internet links to individual biographies or CVs at the respective institutions must be included.

When providing the rationale for selection of the faculty, consider how the nominees' credentials, teaching and scholarly experiences might contribute to informed perspectives and meaningful insights related to the departments functioning, accomplishments, areas of growth as well as priorities for future action.

Items in **BLUE** are required.

Include a link to each nominees website, LinkedIn profile or CV.

1. Nominee Name, Position/Faculty Status, Institution

Title/Rank:

Contact Information:

Email:

Phone:

Website/LinkedIn/CV:

Rationale:

2. Nominee Name, Position/Faculty Status, Institution

Title/Rank:

Contact Information:

Email:

Phone:

Website/LinkedIn/CV:

Rationale:

3. Nominee Name, Position/Faculty Status, Institution

Title/Rank:

Contact Information:

Email:

Phone:

Website/LinkedIn/CV:

Rationale:

4. Nominee Name, Position/Faculty Status, Institution

Title/Rank:

Contact Information:

Email:

Phone:

Website/LinkedIn/CV:

Rationale:

5. Nominee Name, Position/Faculty Status, Institution

Title/Rank:

Contact Information:

Email:

Phone:

Website/LinkedIn/CV:

Rationale:

6. Nominee Name, Position/Faculty Status, Institution

Title/Rank:

Contact Information:

Email:

Phone:

Website/LinkedIn/CV:

Rationale:

Notes: Program alumni, former faculty (or those who have been previously recruited), direct research collaborators, and former team members from past reviews are not appropriate choices and should not be submitted.